Software Patents:
Procuring and Enforcing After Alice
Course Syllabus

I. INTRODUCTION TO HYPOTHETICAL INVENTION USED TO ILLUSTRATE THE PREPARATION AND ENFORCEMENT OF SOFTWARE PATENTS
   A. Software Hypothetical: Voice Validation System for Internet Transactions

II. STATUTORY SUBJECT MATTER: CASES AND GUIDELINES
   A. Software Patent Subject Matter
   B. The Statute and Cases That Control Claim Drafting
      1. Statutory Foundation and Overview
      2. Early Cases (Benson et al.)
      3. In re Alappat
      4. State Street Bank
      5. AT&T
      6. Recent Other Cases - Pre-Bilski
      7. In re Bilski, Mayo v. Prometheus
      8. CAFC Post-Bilski & Mayo Cases
      9. Alice v. CLS Bank (decision expected June 2014)
     10. Summary of Cases
     11. Claim Strategies in Reaction to Decisions
   C. Examination Guidelines for Computer-Related Inventions
      1. 2010 (post-Biski), 2014 (post-Mayo) Guidelines Overview
      2. Possible new Guidelines post-Alice
   D. Brief Comparative Analysis of Patent, Copyright and Trade Secret Protection for Computer Software
      1. Other Available Forms of Protection
      2. Summary of Copyright Protection for Software
      3. Summary of Trade Secrets Protection for Software
      5. Summary of Contract Protection for Software

III. LAW RELATING TO SOFTWARE CLAIMS
   A. Claim Interpretation
      1. Interpretation of Various Terms
      2. Chasing an Evolving Standard: The "Written Description" Requirement
   B. Direct, Contributory and Inducing Infringement
   C. Doctrines of Equivalents and Prosecution History Estoppel in the CAFC
      1. Warner-Jenkinson v. Hilton Davis
      2. Festo Corp. v. Shoketsu Kinzoku Kabushiki Co. (CAFC)
3. Festo Corp. v. Shoketsu Kinzoku Kogyo Kabushiki Co. (Supreme Court)
5. Intent Plays No Role
6. Element-by-Element Basis
7. Insuubstantial Differences vs. Triple Identity Test
8. Equivalents at Time of Infringement

D. Means- and Step-Plus-Function Claims in the CAFC
   1. Concerns With Scope
   2. Legal Effect of Concluding That §112(f) Analysis Must Be Used
   3. Use of "Means" Invokes a Presumption That Means-Plus-Function Analysis Must Be Used
   4. Recitation of Sufficient Structure Precludes §112(f) Analysis
   5. When Does a Term Connote Function as Opposed to Structure?
   6. Recent Decisions Defining "Equivalent" Structure Under §112(f)
   7. Overhead Door Corp. v. Chamberlain Group, Inc.
   8. Means-Plus-Function Clause Functional Equivalents
   9. USPTO Final Examiner Guidelines for Means-Plus-Function Claims
  10. Step-Plus-Function Elements

IV. SOFTWARE PATENT AND BIOINFORMATICS CLAIMING STRATEGIES
A. Optimal Claiming Strategy
B. "Point of View" for Each Claim
C. Direct Infringement vs. Indirect Infringement
D. Optimizing for Direct Infringement and Expansion of Royalty Base
E. Jurisdictional Issues and the Extraterritorial Scope of the Patent Infringement Statute
   1. Extraterritorial Infringement Under §271(a): Use Outside the United States
   2. Extraterritorial Infringement Under §271(a): Offers for Sale and Sales Within and Outside the United States
   3. Exporting Components of a Patented Invention: §271(f)
F. 2005 Examination Guidelines for Computer-Related Inventions
G. General Claiming Strategies
H. Types of Claims and Examples Thereof
   1. Method and Apparatus Claims
   2. Computer-Readable Media Claims/Beauregard Claims
   3. Data Structure/Lowry Claims (Data or Memory Structures)
   4. Propagated Signal "Carrier Wave" Claims - No Longer Available
5. Application Programming Interface (API) Claims
6. Clone Buster Claims
7. User Interface Claims
8. Software-Related Business Technique Claims
9. Bioinformatics Claims
I. Analyze Claims in Sample Issued Patents; Sample Claims That May Cause Problems With Proving Infringement
J. Post-Bilski/Mayo Claim (and Specification) Strategies

V. THE PATENT SPECIFICATION
A. Law Relating to the Software Patent Specification
   1. Enablement
   2. Best Mode
   3. Written Description
   4. Statutory Bars
   5. Real-Life Potential Software Statutory Bar Events
   1. For Enablement, Written Description, Best Mode
   2. Description of Hardware
C. Illustrative Step-by-Step Preparation of Software Patent Specifications

VI. PRIOR ART FOR SOFTWARE AND BIOINFORMATIC PATENT CLAIMS
A. Reasons for Finding and Disclosing Prior Art
B. Finding Relevant Prior Art
   1. Conventional Patent Collection Searching
   2. On-Line Patent Searching
   3. Finding Non-Patent Prior Art
   4. Include Applicant’s Own Prior Versions That Are Prior Art
C. Disclosure Statements
   1. Disclosing Non-Patent Prior Art Documents
   2. Disclosing Prior Art Software

VII. LITIGATION OF SOFTWARE PATENTS
A. Personal Jurisdiction in Software Litigation
   1. General Issues of Personal Jurisdiction
   2. Minimum Contacts and Due Process
   4. Personal Jurisdiction in Software Patent Litigation
   5. Cases Involving Infringement of Software Patents and the Internet – Divided Infringement/Joint Infringement
   6. Sample Jurisdiction and Venue Scenarios
   7. Suggestions for Software Patent Claims
B. Claim Construction During Litigation
   1. Differing Views Presented in Cybor
   2. Claim Construction After Markman/Cybor
C. Reexamination
D. Relief
   1. Damages
   2. Injunctions
   3. USITC Exclusion Orders
VIII. ETHICS
A. Duty of Disclosure 37 CFR §1.56
B. Subject Matter Conflicts of Interest