



## Patent Resources Group

### Patent Law Guide for Inventors, Investors, Paralegals & Non-Patent Lawyers Course Syllabus

- I. **INTRODUCTION TO PATENTS AND PATENT PRACTICE**
  - A. The Function of Patents and Patentable Inventions in an Industrialized Society
  - B. Overview of and Objectives in Obtaining and Exploiting a United States Patent
    - 1. Life Before and After the Patent Issues
    - 2. Thumbnail Sketch of Patent Procurement Procedure
  - C. Non-Lawyers, Lawyers, and the Inventor Entitled to Practice Patent Law
    - 1. Who, When, and How
    - 2. Patent Practitioners' Requirements for Registration to Practice Before the USPTO
  - D. The Practical and Legal Significance of the Patent's Being Property
    - 1. Property in General – the Right to Exclude
    - 2. Patents as Property – the Role of Injunctive Relief
    - 3. Variations on Patentable Inventions as Property – the Time the Exclusionary Rights Vest
    - 4. Patent Assignments and Licenses in Relation to Transfer of Property
    - 5. Patents: Property vs. Monopoly
- II. **THE UNITED STATES PATENT AS A LEGAL INSTRUMENT**
  - A. Relationship of the Patent *Instrument* to Its Legal and Business Environment
  - B. The Role and Construction of the Main Parts of a Patent
    - 1. Framework
    - 2. The Drawings
    - 3. The Specification (Including the Claims)
  - C. Claim Interpretation
    - 1. "Reading" a Claim on Something
    - 2. Types of Claims
    - 3. Claim Format
    - 4. Functional Language in Claims
  - D. The Date of Invention
    - 1. From the Latest to the Earliest
    - 2. Actual Reduction to Practice



## Patent Resources Group

### Patent Law Guide for Inventors, Investors, Paralegals & Non-Patent Lawyers Course Syllabus

3. Conception
  4. Corroboration for Conception and Actual Reduction to Practice
  - E. What Determines Who Is the Inventor?
  - F. Patent Term – the Duration of a Patent's Exclusionary Period
    1. The Way It Had Been – the 17 Years From Issue Term
    2. The Way It Became – the 20 Years From Filing Term – 35 USC §154(a)(2), From June 8, 1995, to May 28, 2000, Inclusive
    3. The Way It Is Now With *Patent Term Guaranty* – the 20 Years From Filing Term – 35 USC §154(b), On and After May 29, 2000
  - G. Illustrative Forms of Patents
    1. Makowsky Patent No. 3,691,587
    2. Bigelow Patent No. 3,040,983
- III. CLAIM DRAFTING AND PRACTICE**
- A. Claim Scope and Why It Should Vary
  - B. Broad, Intermediate, and Narrow Claims
    1. Narrowing by Adding an Element or Step
    2. Dependent Claims as a Form for Narrowing
    3. Narrowing by Modifying or Qualifying an Element or the Interrelationship of Elements
    4. Claimed Aggregation vs. Combination
    5. Claim Differentiation
  - C. Dominant-Subservient and Subcombination-Combination Claim Relationships
  - D. Genus-Species Relationships
    1. Definitions and Characteristics
    2. Mutual Exclusivity
    3. Disclosure Determines the Relationship
    4. The Same Claim Directed to Both a Species and Generic Invention
    5. A Claim Is Never a Genus or a Species
    6. Claim to Genus Must Have All the Elements of Its Species
    7. Markush Group – the Artificial Genus



## Patent Resources Group

### Patent Law Guide for Inventors, Investors, Paralegals & Non-Patent Lawyers Course Syllabus

- E. Improvement Patents and the "Exclusive" Right
- F. How to Understand Mechanical Patent Drawings
- IV. NOVELTY REQUIREMENT FOR, AND EVENTS THAT DEFEAT, PATENTABILITY UNDER 35 USC §102**
  - A. Overview of 35 USC §102
  - B. Section 102 in Detail
    - 1. Known or Used by Others; Patented or Described in a Printed Publication – §102(a)
    - 2. Public Use or On Sale – §102(b)
    - 3. Abandonment of the Invention – §102(c)
    - 4. Invention First Patented in a Foreign Country – §102(d)
    - 5. United States Patent as §102(e) Prior Art
    - 6. Applicant Must Be the Inventor – §102(f)
    - 7. Invention Made by Another – §102(g)
    - 8. Meanings of Different "Inventive Entity" and "Effective" Filing Date
    - 9. Two Procedural Mechanisms in the USPTO for Establishing an Applicant's Prior Invention Relative to Events Under §102(a), (e), and (g)
  - C. Criteria for Special Forms of §102 Prior Art
    - 1. Material as a Reference From Seemingly Unavailable Patent Applications
    - 2. Oral Testimony as Evidence of §102(a), (b), and (g) Prior Art
    - 3. When a Reference Can Be Proper Albeit Dated *After* Applicant's Filing Date or Date of Invention
    - 4. Section 102 Prior Art Disclosure Requirements in Technology Context
  - D. Fact Patterns Applied to §102
    - 1. Full Text of §102
    - 2. Example 1 – Relating to §102(a), (b), (e), and (f)
    - 3. Example 2 – Relating to §102(e) and the Applicant's Own Acts
    - 4. Example 3 – Relating to §102(b), (c), (d), and (g)
  - E. Forfeiture Relative to, But Not Under, §102(b)



## Patent Resources Group

### Patent Law Guide for Inventors, Investors, Paralegals & Non-Patent Lawyers Course Syllabus

1. Secret, Commercial Process, the *Product* of Which Is Sold and Publicly Used Longer Than the Grace Period
  2. Secret, Commercial Process as a *Service* Publicly Available – §102(b) Bar
- V. NONOBVIOUSNESS OF THE NOVEL INVENTION – 35 USC §103**
- A. Relationship of Nonobviousness (§103) to Novelty (§102)
    1. If the §102 Barrier to Patentability Falls, the §103 Barrier May Remain
    2. Inherency and Broad Claim Construction Elevate the §102 Barrier
    3. A Single Event or a Plurality of Events, Each of Which Falls Short of a §102 Event, May Individually or Jointly Establish a §103 Barrier to Patentability
    4. Nonobviousness vs. Invention
  - B. Current Issues on Nonobviousness
    1. A Single Reference Plus Other Evidence Under §102
    2. Defective *Prima Facie* Obviousness
    3. Obviousness at the Time the Invention Was Made – §102(e) Events as Prior Art Under §103(a)
    4. In-House §102(e), (f), and (g) Events Are Not Prior Art Under §103(c)(1), (2), and (3) Against a Common Assignee's or Joint Research Venture's Inventor
    5. Applicant's Admissions as Prior Art
    6. Demise of the Flash of Genius Test for Patentability
  - C. The USPTO's Procedure in, and Position on, Nonobviousness Under §103
- VI. SECURING AND MAINTAINING AN EARLY FILING DATE VIA CONTINUING APPLICATIONS AND CONTINUED EXAMINATION**
- A. The Importance of Obtaining the Earliest Possible Application Filing Date
  - B. Nature and Types of Continuing Applications
    1. The Nature of Continuing Applications
    2. The Three Types of Continuing Applications
  - C. Requirements for Continuing Applications
    1. Overview



## Patent Resources Group

### Patent Law Guide for Inventors, Investors, Paralegals & Non-Patent Lawyers Course Syllabus

2. Effect of Discontinuity of Disclosure in the Middle of a Chain of Continuing Applications
  - D. The Prior Art Date of a Patent Issued From a Continuing Application
    1. Continuation and Divisional Patents
    2. CIP Patent
  - E. Request for Continued Examination (RCE)
  - F. Summary and Descriptions of the Different Types and Classifications of U.S. Patent Applications
    1. Classification in General
    2. Classification by Subject Matter
    3. Classification by the Number of Applicants
    4. Classification in Relationship to Other Applications
- VII. SECURING AND MAINTAINING AN EARLY FILING DATE VIA FOREIGN AND PROVISIONAL APPLICATION PRIORITY**
- A. The Second and Third Priority Mechanisms
  - B. Foreign Priority Under 35 USC §119(a)-(d)
    1. General Principles Underlying Foreign Priority
    2. The Requirements for Obtaining Foreign Priority
    3. The Effects (Benefits and Non-Benefits) of Foreign Priority
    4. Foreign Priority for Plant Patents Based on Plant Breeders' Rights, Pursuant to 35 USC §119(e) and (f)
  - C. Provisional Application Priority, 35 USC §119(e)
    1. Overview of Provisional Applications
    2. Summary of Requirements for Obtaining the Benefit of the Filing Date of a Provisional Application in a Subsequent Nonprovisional Application
- VIII. "NEW MATTER" CANNOT LEGALLY BE ADDED TO AN ALREADY FILED PATENT APPLICATION**
- A. Overview of the Prohibition Against New Matter
  - B. How to Determine Whether Matter Added to an Application Constitutes "New Matter"
    1. Added Matter That Always Constitutes Prohibited New Matter
    2. Added Matter That Does Not Constitute New Matter



## Patent Resources Group

### Patent Law Guide for Inventors, Investors, Paralegals & Non-Patent Lawyers Course Syllabus

- C. Relationship Between "New Matter" and Foreign and Domestic Priority Claims Under 35 USC §§119, 120, and 365
- IX. THE NONPROVISIONAL PATENT APPLICATION**
  - A. Overview: the Parts of a Nonprovisional Utility Patent Application
  - B. The Required Parts of an Application
    - 1. The Specification (Apart From the Claims)
    - 2. The Claims
    - 3. Drawings
    - 4. Abstract of the Disclosure
    - 5. Oath or Declaration
    - 6. Filing and Prosecution Fees
- X. PUBLICATION OF PATENT APPLICATIONS AND PRE-ISSUANCE ROYALTIES**
  - A. Introduction
    - 1. Overview of Patent Application Publication and Pre-Issuance Royalties
    - 2. PACR and EFS
  - B. Publication of Applications
    - 1. The General Rule – Applications Are Published and Copies of Their Files Can Be Obtained After 18 Months
    - 2. Content of the Patent Application Publication
    - 3. Exceptions to 18-Month Publication
    - 4. Formal Requirements Prerequisite to Publication and Substantive Examination of Applications
    - 5. Voluntary Publication, Republication, and Early Publication
    - 6. Protests and Oppositions Are Prohibited After Publication
    - 7. Deferred Examination Is Permitted for Published Applications
    - 8. Biological Deposits Not Publicly Released Upon Publication of Application
  - C. Provisional Rights – Pre-Issuance Royalties
    - 1. National Application: Conditions and Limitations Prerequisite to Collection of Pre-Issuance Royalties



## Patent Resources Group

### Patent Law Guide for Inventors, Investors, Paralegals & Non-Patent Lawyers Course Syllabus

2. International Application: Additional Conditions and Limitations Prerequisite to Collection of Pre-Issuance Royalties

#### **XI. THE DUTY OF CANDOR vs. INEQUITABLE CONDUCT**

- A. Background of Duty of Candor vs. Inequitable Conduct
- B. Inequitable Conduct
  1. Materiality – The Pivotal Lever
  2. Intent – The Will-o'-the-Wisp Factor in Inequitable Conduct